



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,398	10/01/2003	Boaz Ben-Zvi	200308873-1	8875
22879	7590 03/10/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLL	INS, CO 80527-2400		2186	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/677,398	BEN-ZVI, BOAZ			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
	or Reply					
WHI0 - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep b. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 January 2004				
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.				
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
- / 🗀	closed in accordance with the practice und	·	•			
Disposit	tion of Claims		·			
· _		tion				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.				
Annlicat	tion Papers					
	·	.•				
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examunation The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> is/		incted to by the Everiner			
וש(טו	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	sian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
•	□ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	eigh phoney ander 00 0.0.0. 3	113(4)-(4) 51 (1).			
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
	application from the International Bui	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachmer	• •		(770)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date	'	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/677,398

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant Office Action is taken in relation to prosecution of the present application, presenting claims 1-12 for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,511,190 (Sharma et al).

With respect to claim 12, Sharma discloses An apparatus, comprising: a non-blocking grouping mechanism that groups entries of data, and returns the groups of entries of data substantially concurrently with processing following entries of data to be grouped (database file server with grouping functions and hash functions to coordinate transfer operations while executing group queries, Col. 5, Line 65 to Col. 6, Line 17); an overflow mechanism by which data that includes the groups of entries of data that were grouped by the non-blocking grouping mechanism can be written from a primary memory to a secondary memory when the primary memory reaches an overflow condition (overflow procedure/function maintaining in a secondary memory set to provide raw data initially processed by group function

Art Unit: 2186

in the course of executing group by query due to lack of room in primary memory; Col. 6, Lines 32-44; Col. 11, Lines 13-20); and a return mechanism by which the data can be returned from the secondary memory back to the primary memory, and whereupon the data is being returned to the user substantially concurrently with the rest of the data being processed by the non-blocking grouping mechanism; a select mechanism by which a prescribed number of output groups are requested by the user, wherein operation of all of the non-blocking grouping mechanism, the overflow mechanism, and the return mechanism are halted when the requested prescribed number of output groups is reached (coordinating data transfer from secondary memory to primary memory for SQL group-by query upon determining a query match; Col. 11, Lines 46-55; Col. 12, Lines 15-23).

With respect to claim 1, Sharma discloses an apparatus, comprising a non-blocking grouping mechanism that groups entries of data, and returns the groups of entries of data substantially concurrently with processing following grouping of data (database file server with grouping functions and hash functions to coordinate transfer operations while executing group queries, Col. 5, Line 65 to Col. 6, Line 17).

With respect to claims 5 and 8-9, Sharma discloses receiving input entries of data; filtering out recurring entries of data from the input entries of data (*input* procedure performing grouping operation and generating hashed group value

Art Unit: 2186

serving as index to filter memory resident group in group table; Col. 2, Lines 54 to Col. 3, Line 6); and returning distinct entries of data from the input entries of data to the user substantially concurrently with the receiving input entries of data (database file server with grouping functions and hash functions to coordinate transfer operations while executing group queries, Col. 5, Line 65 to Col. 6, Line 17).

Page 4

With respect to claims 2 and 6, Sharma discloses an overflow mechanism by which data that includes the groups of entries of data that were grouped by the non-blocking grouping mechanism can be written from a primary memory to a secondary memory when the primary memory reaches an overflow condition (overflow procedure/function maintaining in a secondary memory set to provide raw data initially processed by group function in the course of executing group by query due to lack of room in primary memory; Col. 8, Lines 24-42; Col. 6, Lines 32-44; Col. 11, Lines 13-20).

With respect to claim 3, Sharma discloses an overflow mechanism by which data that includes the groups of entries of data that were grouped by the non-blocking grouping mechanism can be written from a primary memory to a secondary memory when the primary memory reaches an overflow condition (overflow procedure/function maintaining in a secondary memory set to provide raw data initially processed by group function in the course of executing group by query due to lack of room in primary memory; Col. 6, Lines 32-44; Col. 11, Lines 13-20);

and a return mechanism by which the data can be returned from the secondary memory back to the primary memory, and whereupon the data is being returned to the user substantially concurrently with the rest of the data being processed by the non-blocking grouping mechanism (coordinating data transfer from secondary memory to primary memory for SQL group-by query upon determining a query match; Col. 11, Lines 46-55; Col. 12, Lines 15-23).

With respect to claim 7, Sharma discloses a memory overflow wherein clusters of entries of data are written from a primary memory to a secondary memory when the primary memory runs out of memory (overflow procedure/function maintaining in a secondary memory set to provide raw data initially processed by group function in the course of executing group by query due to lack of room in primary memory; Col. 6, Lines 32-44; Col. 11, Lines 13-20), and wherein the primary memory overflows into the secondary memory by flushing one of its clusters of entries of data into the secondary memory and releasing certain ones of its in-memory buffers (entries emptied from group table 218, Col. 11, Lines 46-55).

With respect to claim 5, Sharma discloses wherein the primary memory being primary Random Access Memory (RAM) [Col. 5, Lines 9-15; Fig. 1].

with respect to claims 9-11, Sharma discloses grouping entries of data, comprising segmenting the groups into clusters that limit a potential overflow to one

Art Unit: 2186

cluster at a time; prior to the potential overflow, all clusters perform work in a non-blocking fashion; and in case of the overflow, transferring clusters one at a time from the primary memory to the secondary memory, while the remaining non-transferred clusters can still function in a non-blocking fashion associated with remaining data (Col. 6, Lines 32-44; Col. 8, Lines 24-42; Col. 11, Lines 13-20).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2005/0071320 (Chkodrov et al) teaching self-maintaining real-time data aggregations.

US 2003/0131215 (Bellew) teaching lock-up data fields in data processing operations involving multiple tables and relational database.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4178. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00A to 4:30P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre-Michel Bataille Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

February 3, 2006

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER